

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,864	10/11/2004	Fang-An Shu	14150-US-PA	5863	
31561 7	31561 7590 09/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, THANH T	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2813		
TAIWAN	TAIWAN		DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v	
s	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/711,864	SHU, FANG-AN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
,	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		(4) (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
. Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/11/04</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/711,864

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 .

(a)-(d).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 10/11/04 has been considered.

Oath/Declaration

Oath/Declaration filed on 10/11/04 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakashima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 2003/0160921).

Referring to figures 1a-9, Nakashima et al. teaches claim 1. A method for fabricating poly-crystal indium tin oxide (ITO) film, the method comprising:

forming an amorphous ITO film (9, see paragraph# 48) on a substrate, and

performing a rapid thermal annealing (RTA) process (heating at the temperature greater 180°C),

to transform the amorphous ITO film into a poly-crystal ITO film (see paragraph# 48).

claim 2. wherein the step of forming the amorphous ITO film includes *sputtering*, physical vapor deposition, or chemical vapor deposition (see paragraph# 48).

claim 3. wherein a thickness of the amorphous ITO film is 400 - 1500 angstroms (80 nm= 800 angstrom, see paragraph# 48).

claim 5. wherein the substrate includes *glass substrate*, silicon substrate, or plastic substrate (see paragraph# 35).

claim 6. wherein substrate includes rigid substrate or flexible substrate (see paragraph# 35, noted that the glass substrate is rigid substrate).

claim 7. A method for fabricating poly-crystal indium tin oxide (ITO) electrode, suitable for use to form electrodes in a thin film transistor array, a color filter, a light emitting diode, or an organic electro-luminescence display, the method comprising:

forming an amorphous ITO film (9, see paragraph# 48) on a substrate,

patterning the amorphous ITO film (9, see figure 1F, paragraph# 49), to form a plurality of amorphous ITO electrodes (see paragraph# 23) on the substrate, and

Application/Control Number: 10/711,864

Art Unit: 2813

performing a rapid thermal annealing (RTA) process (heating at the temperature greater 180°C), to transform the amorphous ITO electrodes into a plurality of poly-crystal ITO electrodes (see paragraph# 23, 48).

claim 8. wherein the step of forming the amorphous ITO film includes sputtering, physical vapor deposition, or chemical vapor deposition (see paragraph# 48).

claim 9. wherein a thickness of the amorphous ITO electrode is 400 - 1500 angstroms (80 nm= 800 angstrom, see paragraph# 48).

claim 10. wherein the step of patterning the amorphous ITO film includes:

forming a patterned photoresist layer on the amorphous ITO film (see paragraph# 49, figures 1F);

removing a portion of the amorphous ITO film by using the photoresist layer as the pattern as a mask, so as to form the amorphous ITO electrodes on the substrate, and removing the photoresist layer (see paragraph# 49, figures 1F). It is inherent that pattern the layer by photolithography process once has to form the pattern photoresist layer and used as a mask to etch the underlying layer to form a desire pattern.

claim 11. wherein the portion of the amorphous ITO film is removed by oxalic acid (see paragraph# 49).

claim 13. wherein the substrate includes *glass substrate*, silicon substrate, or plastic substrate (see paragraph# 35).

Claim 14. wherein substrate includes *rigid substrate* or flexible substrate (see paragraph# 35, noted that the glass substrate is rigid substrate).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,864

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakashima et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0160921) as applied to claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-14 above in view of Chua et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0158902).

Nakashima et al. teaches claim 1. A method for fabricating poly-crystal indium tin oxide (ITO) film, the method comprising:

forming an amorphous ITO film (9, see paragraph# 48) on a substrate, and performing a rapid thermal annealing (RTA) process (heating at the temperature greater 180°C), to transform the amorphous ITO film into a poly-crystal ITO film (see paragraph# 48).

However, Nakashima et al. does not teach the RTA process for ITO is operated under 400°C - 700°C for 0.5 - 6 minutes.

Chua et al. teaches RTA process for ITO is operated under 400°C - 700°C for 0.5 - 6 minutes (see paragraph# 59).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made to optimize the temperature and the time range of forming a poly-crystal ITO film, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the

prior art (i.e.- poly-crystal ITO film), discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement (i.e.- wherein RTA process for ITO is operated under 400°C - 700°C for 0.5 - 6 minutes) or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen limitations or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen limitations are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578 (FED. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form the poly-crystal ITO film with the specific temperature and time range in process of Nakashima et al. as taught by Chua et al. because the process would a stable ITO film in a short period of time.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Thanh Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN